

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,706	11/21/2003	H. Lee Browne	02473.0018-02	8866
22852	7590 11/06/2006	, .	EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			FERNSTROM, KURT	
LLP 901 NEW YC	ORK AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20001-4413		3711	

DATE MAILED: 11/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Communication	10/717,706	BROWNE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kurt Fernstrom	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a stion. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status ·						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 August 2006.					
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		,				
<u> </u>						
	Claim(s) 37-47 is/are pending in the application.					
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>37-47</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers		·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[accepted or b) cobjected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		• •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>	orojan priority under 25 H C O	2.440(-) (-) (0)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of:	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	imonto hava haan raasiiyad					
		and the Park Alice				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage				
application from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for						
dee the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.				
•						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ⊡ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/717,706

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 37-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Leary in view of Piater, and further in view of Nakayama. O'Leary discloses in column 4, lines 1-67 a method and system for providing video instruction to a user comprising the steps of capturing a real-time signal of a user engaged in a physical activity via video cameras 14A and 14B, generating information related to the physical activity (outline images of a master performing a correct golf swing, described in lines 57-63), and combining the real-time signal and the generated information to display to the user in real time. O'Leary fails to disclose that the instructional signal is presented in a manner that allows a user to view the signal while performing the activity. Piater discloses in Figures 1 and 2 and in pages 8-10 of the translated specification a method of providing an instructional signal comprising a head mounted display 1 which projects a real-time signal from a video camera 4 directly onto the eyes of the user, such that the user may view the instructional signal while performing a physical activity. Piater further discloses that an instructional signal in the form of a correct golf swing may be presented simultaneously with the signal of the user (see the top of page 8 and claim 7.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

page 12 of the translated specification). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art to modify the device and method disclosed by O'Leary by providing a head mounted display for the purpose of projecting an image directly onto the eyes of the user, thus allowing the user to more easily view the signal while performing a physical activity. O'Leary as viewed in combination with Piater fails to disclose that real-time information is extracted from the real time signal for combination into an instructional signal. Nakayama discloses in Figures 1 and 2 and in the specification a method and device for video instruction comprising the steps of capturing a real-time user of a signal, extracting real-time information thereon and combining them to form an instructional signal. In particular, column 2, lines 51-62 discusses the combination of a real-time signal with real-time information to form an instructional signal, and column 5, lines 44-66 discusses the extraction of information from the realtime signal to generate information relating to the activity. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art to modify the device and method disclosed by O'Leary as viewed in combination with Piater by extracting information from the realtime signal as recited for the purpose of providing additional information to the user. With respect to claim 38, 46 and 47, Nakayama discloses in column 13, lines 48-68 and column 15, lines 67 to column 16, line 6 that club speed, acceration and angle are all obtained in the instructional method. With respect to claims 41 and 44, the signal and information of O'Leary, Piater and Nakayama are viewable at the same time by the user. With respect to claim 42, the VOG as described in O'Leary acts as a mixer.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 37-47 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chang, Nakashima, Ozaki, Cromarty and Naruo disclose various devices and methods for providing instruction to a user.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,706

Art Unit: 3711

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Fernstrom whose telephone number is (571) 272-4422. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, Th 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Kim can be reached on 571 272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KF.

November 2, 2006

KURT FERNSTROM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Kt let